

wkc OK

<div>UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BOARD ON GEOGRAPHIC NAMES WASHINGTON 25, D. C.</div> <div>DOMESTIC GEOGRAPHIC NAME PROPOSAL</div>		<div>Proposed name <b>White Cloud Peaks</b></div> <div>State <b>Idaho</b></div> <div>County <b>Custer</b></div>			
<div>Reason for Proposal: <i>Check appropriate box(es)</i></div>		<div>Controversial name <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Name change</div> <div>Changed application <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Other</div>		<div>Is name in local use? No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes If Yes, approx. No. of years <b>40</b></div>	
<div>Location of Feature</div>		<div>Latitude <b>43° 59' 12" N.</b> Section(s) _____ T. _____ R. _____</div> <div>Longitude <b>114° 42' 40" W.</b> _____ Meridian _____</div>			
<div>Brief description of feature: The high peaks along the range extending from lat. N 43°59', long. W 114°42' at the head of Germania Creek northerly to the high peaks, above headwaters of Treon Creek near lat. N 44°12', long. W 114°40'</div> <div>wkc attached</div>					
MAPS USING PROPOSED NAME		VARIANT SPELLING OR OTHER NAME		MAP SHOWN ON	
Custer, Idah, USGS, 1919					
Challis Nat. Forest, 1956					
<div>Available information as to origin, spelling, and meaning of the proposed name and/or statement concerning nature of difference in usage or application:</div> <div>A request for review of the present ruling as stated in the 6th Report of the Geographic Board is being made based on this report.</div> <div>Dr. Merle Wells, Idaho State Historian, states that the northern limits of this feature should be limited to the high light-colored peaks along the range and not extended to the Salmon River. Both Dr. Wells and Ernest Oberbillig (Mining Engineer) state that this feature is not part of the Sawtooth Mts. as stated in present ruling.</div>					
AUTHORITY FOR NAME		ADDRESS		OCCUPATION	
Dr. Merle Wells		Idaho State Historian			
Present map coverage					
Ernest Oberbillig		Unknown		Mining Engineer	
Indicate number of people consulted other than above authorities: _____					

SUBMITTED BY:

Individual or private organization

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Government agency (State or Federal) ☐

Check appropriate box

Field officer of a mapping agency ☐

Agency \_\_\_\_\_ Date 12/13/61

Name and title \_\_\_\_\_ TOPOGRAPHIC DIVISION

Address \_\_\_\_\_ U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY  
345 MIDDLEFIELD ROAD  
MENLO PARK, CALIFORNIA

CHIEF, MAP EDITING UNIT  
PACIFIC AREA

### INSTRUCTIONS

This form should be used to provide supporting information on Domestic geographic name proposals. Names should be reported when more than one name is given to a feature by local inhabitants, and/or on official maps, or in reports of Federal, State, and county agencies; also if there is disagreement as to the extent of the feature or the application of the name. A full statement covering the field investigation of each name is required in order that adequate evidence may be submitted in proper form to the Board on Geographic Names for decision on the following:

1. Names that have more than one local spelling.
2. Names where local spelling differs from that shown on previously published maps or reports.
3. Conflicting name usage due to change in property ownership.
4. Conflicting published names in sparsely settled areas where local usage cannot be determined.
5. New names, that is, recently assigned or proposed names for features previously unnamed. (Use Board on Geographic Names form, Proposal of Name for an Unnamed Domestic Feature.)

Established names in local use, even if previously unpublished, are not considered new names. If spelling, application, and extent of use are undisputed, a name may be accepted for publication without submitting it to the Board on Geographic Names for approval.